

Measurable Iso-Functions

Svetlin G. Georgiev

Department of Mathematics, Sorbonne University, Paris, France

Email address:

svetlingeorgiev1@gmail.com

To cite this article:

Svetlin G. Georgiev. Measurable Iso-Functions. *American Journal of Modern Physics*. Special Issue: Issue I: Foundations of Hadronic Mathematics. Vol. 4, No. 5, 2015, pp. 24-34. doi: 10.11648/j.ajmp.s.2015040501.13

Abstract: In this article are given definitions definition for measurable is-functions of the first, second, third, fourth and fifth kind. They are given examples when the original function is not measurable and the corresponding iso-function is measurable and the inverse. They are given conditions for the isotopic element under which the corresponding iso-functions are measurable. It is introduced a definition for equivalent iso-functions. They are given examples when the iso-functions are equivalent and the corresponding real functions are not equivalent. They are deduced some criterions for measurability of the iso-functions of the first, second, third, fourth and fifth kind. They are investigated for measurability the addition, multiplication of two iso-functions, multiplication of iso-function with an iso-number and the powers of measurable iso-functions. They are given definitions for step iso-functions, iso-step iso-functions, characteristic iso-functions, iso-characteristic iso-functions. It is investigate for measurability the limit function of sequence of measurable iso-functions. As application they are formulated the iso-Lebesgue's theorems for iso-functions of the first, second, third, fourth and fifth kind. These iso-Lebesgue's theorems give some information for the structure of the iso-functions of the first, second, third, fourth and fifth kind.

Keywords: Measurable Iso-Sets, Measurable Is-Functions, Is-Lebesgue Theorems

1. Introduction

Genious idea is the Santilli's generalization of the basic unit of quantum mechanics into an integro-differential operator \hat{I} which is as positive-definite as +1 and it depends of local variables and it is assumed to be the inverse of the isotopic element \hat{T}

$$+1 > 0 \rightarrow \hat{I}(t, r, p, a, E, \dots) = \frac{1}{\hat{T}} > 0$$

and it is called Santilli isounit. Santilli introduced a generalization called lifting of the conventional associative product ab into the form

$$ab \rightarrow a\hat{x}b = a\hat{T}b$$

Called isoproduct for which

$$\hat{I}\hat{x}a = \frac{1}{\hat{T}}\hat{T}a = a\hat{x}\hat{I} = a\hat{T}\frac{1}{\hat{T}} = a$$

For every element a of the field of real numbers, complex numbers and quaternions. The Santilli isonumbers are defined as follows: for given real number or complex number or quaternion a ,

$$\hat{a} = a\hat{I}$$

With isoproduct

$$\hat{a}\hat{x}\hat{b} = \hat{a}\hat{T}\hat{b} = a\frac{1}{\hat{T}}\hat{T}b\frac{1}{\hat{T}} = ab\frac{1}{\hat{T}} = \widehat{ab}$$

If $a \neq 0$, the corresponding isoelement of $\frac{1}{a}$ will be denoted with \hat{a}^{-1} or $\hat{I} \succ \hat{a}$.

With $\hat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ we will denote the field of the is-numbers \hat{a} for which $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and basic isounit \hat{I}_1 .

In [1], [3]-[12] are defined isocontinuous isofunctions and isoderivative of isofunction and in [1] are proved some of their properties. If \hat{D}_1 is an isoset in $\hat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$, the class of isofunctions is denoted by \widehat{FC}_{D_1} and the class of isodifferentiable isofunctions is denoted by $\widehat{FC}_{D_1}^1$, with the same basic isounit $\hat{I} = \frac{1}{\hat{T}}$, it is supposed

$$\hat{T} \in C^1(D_1), \hat{T} > 0 \text{ in } D_1.$$

Here D_1 is the corresponding real set of \hat{D}_1 . If x is an independent variable, then the corresponding lift is $\frac{x}{\hat{T}(x)}$, if f is real-valued function on D_1 , then the corresponding lift of first kind is defined as follows

$$\hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x}) = \frac{f\left(\hat{t}(x)\frac{x}{T(x)}\right)}{\hat{T}(x)} = \frac{f(x)}{\hat{T}(x)}$$

and we will denote it by \hat{f}^\wedge .

In accordance with [1], the isodifferential is defined as follows

$$\hat{d}(\cdot) = \hat{T}(x)d(\cdot).$$

Then

$$\hat{d}(\hat{x}) = \hat{T}(x)d(\hat{x}) = \hat{T}(x)d\left(\frac{x}{T(x)}\right) = \hat{T}(x)\left(\frac{1}{T(x)} - \frac{xT'(x)T^2(x)dx}{T^3(x)}\right)$$

$$\hat{d}(\hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x})) = \hat{T}(x)d(\hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x})) = T(x)d\left(\frac{f(x)}{\hat{T}(x)}\right) = (f'(x) - f(x)\frac{\hat{T}'(x)}{\hat{T}^2(x)})dx.$$

In accordance with [1], the first is-derivative of the is-function \hat{f}^\wedge is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{f}^\wedge(x))_x^\otimes &= \hat{d}(\hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x})) \nearrow \hat{d}(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{\hat{T}(x)} \frac{\hat{d}(\hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x}))}{\hat{d}(\hat{x})} \\ &= \frac{f'(x)\hat{T}(x) - f(x)\hat{T}'(x)}{\hat{T}^2(x) - x\hat{T}(x)\hat{T}'(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

When $\hat{T}(x) \equiv 1$, then

$$(\hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x}))_x^\otimes = f'(x).$$

Our aim in this article is to be investigated some aspects of theory of measurable iso-functions. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 are defined measurable iso-functions and they are deducted some of their properties. In Section 3 is investigated the structure of the measurable iso-functions.

2. The Definition and the Simplest Properties of Measurable Is-Functions

We suppose that A is a given point set, $\hat{T} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\hat{T}(x) > 0$ for every $x \in A$, $\hat{T}_1 > 0$ be a given constant, $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given real-valued function. With \hat{f} we will denote the corresponding is-function of the first, second, third, fourth and fifth kind. More precisely,

1. $\hat{f}(x) \equiv \hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x}) = \frac{f(x)}{\hat{T}(x)}$, when \hat{f} is an is-function of the first kind.
2. $\hat{f}(x) \equiv \hat{f}^\wedge(x) = \frac{f(x\hat{T}(x))}{\hat{T}(x)}$, when $\frac{x}{\hat{T}(x)} \in A$ for $x \in A$, when \hat{f} is an is-function of the second kind.
3. $\hat{f}(x) \equiv \hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x}) = \frac{f(\frac{x}{\hat{T}(x)})}{\hat{T}(x)}$, $\frac{x}{\hat{T}(x)} \in A$ for $x \in A$, when \hat{f} is an is-function of the third kind.
4. $\hat{f}(x) \equiv \hat{f}^\wedge(x) = f(x\hat{T}(x))$, when $x\hat{T}(x) \in A$ for $x \in A$,

when \hat{f} is an is-function of the fourth kind.

5. $\hat{f}(x) \equiv \hat{f}^\vee(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{T(x)}\right)$, $\frac{x}{T(x)} \in A$ for $x \in A$, when \hat{f} is an is-function of the fifth kind.

For $a \in A$ with $A(\hat{f} > a)$ we will denote the set

$$A(\hat{f} > a) := \{x \in A : \hat{f}(x) > a\}.$$

We define the symbols $A(\hat{f} \geq a)$, $A(\hat{f} = a)$, $A(\hat{f} < a)$, $A(a < \hat{f} < b)$ and etc., in the same way.

If the set on which the is-function \hat{f} is defined is designated by a letter C or D , we shall write $C(\hat{f} > a)$ or $D(\hat{f} > a)$.

Definition 2.1. The is-function \hat{f} is said to be measurable if

1. The set A is measurable.
2. The set $A(\hat{f} > a)$ is measurable for all $a \in A$.

Theorem 2.3. Let \hat{f} be a measurable is-function defined on the set A . If B is a measurable subset of A , then the is-function $\hat{f}(x)$, considered only for $x \in B$, is measurable.

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrarily chosen and fixed. We will prove that

$$B(\hat{f} > a) = B \cap A(\hat{f} > a). \tag{1}$$

Really, let $x \in B(\hat{f} > a)$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then $x \in B$ and $\hat{f}(x) > a$. Since $B \subset A$, we have that $x \in A$. From $x \in A$ and $\hat{f}(x) > a$ it follows that $x \in A(\hat{f} > a)$. Because $x \in B(\hat{f} > a)$ was arbitrarily chosen and for it we get that it is an element of the set $B \cap A(\hat{f} > a)$, we conclude that

$$B \subset (\hat{f} > a) \subset B \cap A(\hat{f} > a). \tag{2}$$

Let now $x \in B \cap A(\hat{f} > a)$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then $x \in B$ and $x \in A(\hat{f} > a)$. Hence $x \in B$ and $\hat{f}(x) > a$. Therefore $x \in B(\hat{f} > a)$. Because $x \in B \cap A(\hat{f} > a)$ was arbitrarily chosen and we get that it is an element of $B(\hat{f} > a)$, we conclude that

$$B \cap A(\hat{f} > a) \subset B(\hat{f} > a).$$

From the last relation and from (2) we prove the relation (1).

Since the iso-function \hat{f} is a measurable function on the set A , we have that $A(\hat{f} > a)$ is a measurable set. As the intersection of two measurable sets is a measurable set, we have that $B \cap A(\hat{f} > a)$ is a measurable set. Consequently, using (1), the set $B(\hat{f} > a)$ is measurable set. In this way we have

1. B is a measurable set,
2. $B(\hat{f} > a)$ is a measurable set for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Therefore the iso-function \hat{f} , considered only for $x \in B$, is a measurable is-function.

Theorem 2.4. Let \hat{f} be defined on the set A , which is the union of a finite or denumerable number of measurable sets A_k , $A = \bigcup_k A_k$. If \hat{f} is measurable on each of the sets A_k , then it is also measurable on A .

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrarily chosen. We will prove that

$$A(\hat{f} > a) = \cup_k A_k(\hat{f} > a). \quad (3)$$

Let $x \in A(\hat{f} > a)$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then $x \in A$ and $\hat{f}(x) > a$. Since $x \in A$ and $A = \cup_k A_k$, there exists k such that $x \in A_k$. Therefore $x \in A_k$ and $\hat{f}(x) > a$. Hence, $x \in A_k(\hat{f} > a)$ and $x \in \cup_k A_k(\hat{f} > a)$. Because $x \in A(\hat{f} > a)$ was arbitrarily chosen and for it we get that it is an element of $\cup_k A_k(\hat{f} > a)$, we conclude that

$$A(\hat{f} > a) \subset \cup_k A_k(\hat{f} > a). \quad (4)$$

Let now $y \in \cup_k A_k(\hat{f} > a)$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then there exists l such that $y \in A_l(\hat{f} > a)$. From here $x \in A_l$ and $\hat{f}(y) > a$. Hence, $y \in A = \cup_k A_k$ and $\hat{f}(y) > a$. Consequently $y \in A(\hat{f} > a)$. Because $y \in \cup_k A_k(\hat{f} > a)$ was arbitrarily chosen and for it we get that it is an element of $A(\hat{f} > a)$ we conclude that

$$A(\hat{f} > a) \subset \bigcup_k A_k(\hat{f} > a).$$

From the last relation and from (4) we prove the relation (3).

Since the union of finite or denumerable number of measurable sets is a measurable set, using that the sets $A_k(\hat{f} > a)$ are measurable, we obtain that A and $A(\hat{f} > a)$ are measurable sets. Therefore \hat{f} is a measurable is-function.

Definition 2.5. Two is-functions \hat{f} and \hat{g} , defined on the same set A , are said to be equivalent if

$$\mu(A(\hat{f} \neq \hat{g})) = 0.$$

We will write

$$\hat{f} \sim \hat{g}.$$

Remark 2.6. There is a possibility $f \neq g$ and in the same time $\hat{f} \sim \hat{g}$.

Let

$$A = [1, 2], f(x) = x, g(x) = x + 1,$$

$$\hat{T}(x) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4x^2}}{2x}, x \in A.$$

Then

$$f \neq g.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x}) &= \frac{f(x)}{\hat{T}(x)} = \frac{x}{\frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4x^2}}{2x}} \\ &= \frac{2x^2}{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4x^2}} = \frac{2x^2(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4x^2})}{(\sqrt{1 + 4x^2} - 1)(\sqrt{1 + 4x^2} + 1)} \\ &= \frac{2x^2(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4x^2})}{4x^2} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4x^2}}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$g^\wedge(x) = g(x\hat{T}(x)) = x\hat{T}(x) + 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= x \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4x^2} + 1}{2x} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4x^2}}{2} + 1 \\ &= \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4x^2}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\mu(A(\hat{f}^\wedge \neq g^\wedge)) = 0$$

Or

$$\hat{f}^\wedge \sim g^\wedge.$$

Remark 2.7. There is a possibility $f \sim g$ and in the same time $\hat{f} \neq \hat{g}$. Let

$$A = [1, 2], f(x) = g(x) = x^2, \hat{T}(x) = x + 1, x \in A.$$

Then

$$f \sim g.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} f^\wedge(x) &= f(x\hat{T}(x)) = x^2\hat{T}^2(x) = x^2(x+1)^2, g^\vee(x) = \\ &g\left(\frac{x}{\hat{T}(x)}\right) = \frac{x^2}{\hat{T}^2(x)(x+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f^\wedge(x) = g^\vee(x) &\Leftrightarrow x^2(x+1)^2 = \frac{x^2}{(x+1)^2} \Leftrightarrow (x+1)^4 \\ &= 1 \Leftrightarrow x = 0 \notin A. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mu(A(f^\wedge = g^\vee)) = 0,$$

Hence,

$$\mu(A(f^\wedge \neq g^\vee)) = 1.$$

Consequently

$$f^\wedge \sim g^\vee.$$

Proposition 2.8. The functions f and g are equivalent if and only if the functions \hat{f}^\wedge and \hat{g}^\wedge are equivalent

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A(f \neq g)) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \mu\left(A\left(\frac{f}{\hat{T}} \neq \frac{g}{\hat{T}}\right)\right) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mu(A(\hat{f}^\wedge \neq \hat{g}^\wedge)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.9. Let some property P holds for all the points of the set A , except for the points of a subset B of the set A . If $\mu(B) = 0$, we say that the property P holds almost everywhere on the set A , or for almost all points of A .

Definition 2.10. We say that two is-functions defined on

the set A are equivalent if they are equal almost everywhere on the set A.

Theorem 2.11. If $\hat{f}(x)$ is a measurable is-function defined on the set A, and if $\hat{f} \sim \hat{g}$, then the is-function $\hat{g}(x)$ is also measurable.

Proof. Let

$$B := A(\hat{f} \neq \hat{g}), D := A \setminus B.$$

Because $\hat{f} \sim \hat{g}$ we have that

$$\mu(A(\hat{f} \neq \hat{g})) = 0$$

or $\mu B = 0$.

Since every function, definite on a set with measure zero is measurable on it, we have that the is-function \hat{g} is measurable on the set B.

We note that the is-functions $\hat{f}(x)$ and $\hat{g}(x)$ are identical on D and since the is0-function \hat{f} is measurable on D, we get that the is-function \hat{g} is measurable on D.

Consequently the is-function \hat{g} is measurable on

$$B \cup D = A.$$

Theorem 2.12. If the is-function $\hat{f}(x)$, defined on the set A, is measurable, then the sets

$$A(\hat{f} \geq a), A(\hat{f} = a), A(\hat{f} \leq a), A(\hat{f} < a)$$

Are measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We will prove that

$$A(\hat{f} \geq a) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A\left(\hat{f} > a - \frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (5)$$

Really, let $x \in A(\hat{f} \geq a)$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then $x \in A$ and $\hat{f}(x) \geq a$. Hence, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\hat{f}(x) > a - \frac{1}{n}$. Therefore

$$x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A\left(\hat{f} > a - \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Because $x \in A(\hat{f} \geq a)$ was arbitrarily chosen and for it we obtain $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A\left(\hat{f} > a - \frac{1}{n}\right)$,

We conclude that

$$A(\hat{f} \geq a) \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A\left(\hat{f} > a - \frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (6)$$

Let now $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A\left(\hat{f} > a - \frac{1}{n}\right)$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then $x \in A\left(\hat{f} > a - \frac{1}{n}\right)$ for every natural number n. From here $x \in A$ and

$$\hat{f}(x) > a - \frac{1}{n}$$

For all natural number n. Consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}(x) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(a - \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

or

$$\hat{f}(x) \geq a$$

and $x \in A(\hat{f} \geq a)$. Since $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A\left(\hat{f} > a - \frac{1}{n}\right)$ was arbitrarily chosen and we get that $x \in A(\hat{f} \geq a)$, we conclude

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A\left(\hat{f} > a - \frac{1}{n}\right) \subset A(\hat{f} \geq a).$$

From the last relation and from (6) we obtain the relation (5).

Because the intersection of denumerable measurable sets is a measurable set, using the relation (5) and the fact that all sets $A\left(\hat{f} > a - \frac{1}{n}\right)$ are measurable for all natural numbers n, we conclude that the set $A(\hat{f} \geq a)$ is a measurable set.

The set $A(\hat{f} = a)$ is a measurable set because

$$A(\hat{f} = a) = A(\hat{f} \geq a) \setminus A(\hat{f} > a).$$

The set $A(\hat{f} \leq a)$ is measurable set since

$$A(\hat{f} \leq a) = A \setminus A(\hat{f} > a).$$

The set $A(\hat{f} < a)$ is measurable since

$$A(\hat{f} < a) = A \setminus A(\hat{f} \geq a).$$

Remark 2.13. We note that if at least one of the sets

$$A(\hat{f} \geq a), A(\hat{f} = a), A(\hat{f} \leq a), A(\hat{f} < a)$$

Is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then the iso-function \hat{f} is measurable on the set A.

Really, let $A(\hat{f} \geq a)$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, using the relation

$$A(\hat{f} > a) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A\left(\hat{f} \geq a - \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad (7)$$

we obtain that the set $A(\hat{f} > a)$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $A(\hat{f} \leq a)$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then using the relation

$$A(\hat{f} > a) = A \setminus A(\hat{f} \leq a),$$

we get that the set $A(\hat{f} > a)$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $A(\hat{f} < a)$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then using the relation

$$A(\hat{f} > a) = A \setminus A(\hat{f} \leq a),$$

We conclude that the set $A(\hat{f} > a)$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.14. If $\hat{f}(x) = c = const$ for all points of a measurable set A, then the is-function $\hat{f}(x)$ is measurable.

Proof. For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that

$$A(\hat{f} > a) = A \text{ if } c > a \text{ and } A(\hat{f} > a) = \emptyset \text{ if } c \leq a.$$

Since the sets A and \emptyset are measurable sets, then $A(\hat{f} > a)$

is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore the is-function $\hat{f}(x)$ is measurable.

Definition 2.15. An is-function $\hat{f}(x)$ defined on the closed interval $[a, b]$ is said to be a step is-function if there is a finite number of points

$$a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{n-1} < a_n = b$$

Such that $\hat{f}(x)$ is a constant on (a_i, a_{i+1}) , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Proposition 2.16. A step is-function is measurable.

Proof. Let $\hat{f}(x)$ is a step is-function on the closed interval $[a, b]$. Let also,

$$a = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{n-1} < a_n = b$$

be such that $\hat{f}(x)$ is a constant on (a_i, a_{i+1}) , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. From the previous theorem we have that $\hat{f}(x)$ is measurable on (a_i, a_{i+1}) , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. We note that

the sets $\{a_i\}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, are sets with measure zero. Therefore the is-function

$\hat{f}(x)$ is measurable on $\{a_i\}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. From here, using that

$$[a, b] = \bigcup_{i=0}^n (a_i, a_{i+1}) \bigcup_{i=0}^n \{a_i\},$$

We conclude that the is-function $\hat{f}(x)$ is measurable on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 2.17. If the is-function $\hat{f}(x)$, defined on the set A is measurable and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \neq 0$, then the is-functions

1. $\hat{f}(x) + c$,
2. $c\hat{f}(x)$,
3. $|\hat{f}(x)|$,
4. $\hat{f}^2(x)$,
5. $\frac{1}{\hat{f}(x)}$,

are also measurable.

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrarily chosen. The assertion follows from the following relations.

1. $A(\hat{f} + c > a) = A(\hat{f} > c - a)$.
2. $A(c\hat{f} > a) = A(\hat{f} > \frac{a}{c})$ if $c > 0$, $A(c\hat{f} > a) = A(\hat{f} < \frac{a}{c})$ if $c < 0$.
3. $A(|\hat{f}| > a) = A$ if $a < 0$, $A(|\hat{f}| > a) = A(\hat{f} > a) \cup A(\hat{f} < -a)$ if $a \geq 0$.
4. $A(\hat{f}^2 > a) = A$ if $a < 0$, $A(\hat{f}^2 > a) = A(|\hat{f}| > \sqrt{a})$ if $a \geq 0$.
5. $A(\frac{1}{\hat{f}} > a) = A(\hat{f} > 0) \cap A(\hat{f} < \frac{1}{a})$ if $a > 0$, $A(\frac{1}{\hat{f}} > a) = A(\hat{f} > 0) \cup A(\hat{f} < 0) \cap A(\hat{f} < \frac{1}{a})$ if $a < 0$, $A(\frac{1}{\hat{f}} > a) = A(\hat{f} > 0)$ if $a = 0$.

Definition 2.18. An is-function \hat{f} , defined on the closed interval $[a, b]$, is said to be is-step is-function, if there is a finite number of points

$$a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{n-1} < a_n = b,$$

such that

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{c_i}{\hat{T}(x)}, x \in [a_i, a_{i+1}), c_i = \text{const}, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Theorem 2.19. Let $\hat{T}(x) > 0$ for every $x \in [a, b]$ and $\hat{T}(x)$ is measurable on $[a, b]$. Let also, $\hat{T}(x)$ is an iso-step is-function on $[a, b]$. Then $\hat{f}(x)$ is measurable on $[a, b]$.

Proof. Let

$$a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{n-1} < a_n = b,$$

be such that

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{c_i}{\hat{T}(x)}, x \in [a_i, a_{i+1}), c_i = \text{const}, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

From the last theorem it follows that $\frac{c_i}{\hat{T}(x)}$ is a measurable is-function on $[a_i, a_{i+1})$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Fromn-1 here and from

$$[a, b] = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} [a_i, a_{i+1}) \cup \{b\}.$$

Since $\{b\}$ is a set with measure zero, we conclude that the is-step is-function \hat{f} is measurable on $[a, b]$.

Definition 2.20. Let M be a subset of the closed interval $[a, b]$. The function $\varphi_M(x) = 0$ for $x \in [a, b] \setminus M$ and $\varphi_M = 1$ for $x \in M$, is called the characteristic function of the set M .

Theorem 2.21. If the set M is a measurable subset of the closed interval $A=[a, b]$, then the characteristic function $\varphi_M(x)$ is measurable on $[a, b]$.

Proof. The assertion follows from the following relations. $A(\varphi_M > a) = \emptyset$ if $a \geq 1$, $A(\varphi_M > a) = M$ if $1 > a \geq 0$, $A(\varphi_M > a) = A$ if $a < 0$.

Definition 2.22. Let M be a subset of the set $A=[a, b]$. The iso- function $\hat{\varphi}_M(x) = 0$ if $x \in A \setminus M$ and $\hat{\varphi}_M = \frac{1}{\hat{T}(x)}$ if $x \in M$, will be called characteristic is-function of the set M .

Theorem 2.23. Let $\hat{T}(x)$ be a measurable function on $A=[a, b]$, M be a measurable subset of A . Then the characteristic is-function $\hat{\varphi}_M(x)$ of the set M is measurable.

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then

$$A(\hat{\varphi}_M > a) = (A \setminus M)(0 > a) \cup M \left(\frac{1}{\hat{T}(x)} > a \right),$$

From here, using that the sets $(A \setminus M)(0 > a)$ and $M \left(\frac{1}{\hat{T}(x)} > a \right)$ are measurable sets, we conclude that $A(\hat{\varphi}_M > a)$ is a measurable set. Because the constant a was arbitrarily chosen, we have that the characteristic function $\hat{\varphi}_M$ is a measurable is-function.

Theorem 2.24. Let f and \hat{T} are continuous functions on the closed set A . Then the is-function $\hat{f} \wedge (\hat{x})$ is measurable.

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrarily chosen. Since every closed set is a measurable set, we conclude that the set A is a measurable set.

We will prove that the set $A(\hat{f}^\wedge \leq a)$ is a closed set.

Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of elements of the set $A(\hat{f}^\wedge \leq a)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0.$$

Since $A(\hat{f}^\wedge \leq a)$ is a subset of the set A we have that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset A$. Because the set A is a closed set, we obtain that $x_0 \in A$. From the definition of the set $A(\hat{f}^\wedge \leq a)$ we have that

$$\hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x}_n) = \frac{f(x_n)}{\hat{T}(x_n)} \leq a,$$

Hence, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, using that f and \hat{T} are continuous functions on the set A , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_n)}{\hat{T}(x_n)} = \frac{f(x_0)}{\hat{T}(x_0)} = \hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x}_0) \leq a,$$

i.e., $x_0 \in A(\hat{f}^\wedge \leq a)$. Therefore the set $A(\hat{f}^\wedge \leq a)$ is a closed set. From here, the set $A(\hat{f}^\wedge \leq a)$ is a measurable set. Because the difference of two measurable sets is a measurable set, we have that the set

$$A(\hat{f}^\wedge > a) = A \setminus A(\hat{f}^\wedge \leq a)$$

is a measurable set.

Since $a \in \mathbb{R}$ was arbitrarily chosen, we obtain that the is-function of the first kind \hat{f}^\wedge is measurable.

Theorem 2.25. Let f and \hat{T} are continuous functions on the closed set A . The the is-functions

$$\hat{f}^\wedge(x), \hat{f}(\hat{x}), f^\wedge(x), f^\vee(x)$$

are measurable on A .

Theorem 2.26. If two measurable is-functions \hat{f} and \hat{g} are defined on the set A , then the set $A(\hat{f} > \hat{g})$ is measurable.

Proof. We enumerate all rational numbers

$$r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots$$

We will prove that

$$A(\hat{f} > \hat{g}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (A(\hat{f} > r_k) \cap A(\hat{g} < r_k)). \quad (8)$$

Let

$$x \in A(\hat{f} > \hat{g})$$

Be arbitrarily chosen. Then

$$x \in A, \hat{f}(x) > \hat{g}(x).$$

There exists a rational number r_k such that

$$\hat{f}(x) > r_k > \hat{g}(x).$$

Therefore

$$x \in A \text{ and } \hat{f}(x) > r_k; x \in A \text{ and } r_k > \hat{g}(x),$$

i.e.,

$$x \in A(\hat{f} > r_k), x \in A(\hat{g} < r_k).$$

Consequently

$$x \in A(\hat{f} > r_k) \cap A(\hat{g} < r_k)$$

And

$$x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (A(\hat{f} > r_k) \cap A(\hat{g} < r_k)).$$

Because $x \in A(\hat{f} > \hat{g})$ was arbitrarily chosen and for it we

we get $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (A(\hat{f} > r_k) \cap A(\hat{g} < r_k))$, we conclude that

$$A(\hat{f} > \hat{g}) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (A(\hat{f} > r_k) \cap A(\hat{g} < r_k)). \quad (9)$$

Let no

$$x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (A(\hat{f} > r_k) \cap A(\hat{g} < r_k))$$

be arbitrarily chosen. Then there exists a natural k so that

$$x \in A(\hat{f} > r_k) \cap A(\hat{g} < r_k).$$

Hence,

$$x \in A(\hat{f} > r_k), x \in A(\hat{g} < r_k).$$

Then

$$x \in A, \hat{f}(x) > r_k, r_k < \hat{g}(x)$$

or

$$x \in A, \hat{f}(x) > r_k > \hat{g}(x).$$

Therefore

$$x \in A(\hat{f} > \hat{g}).$$

Because

$$x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (A(\hat{f} > r_k) \cap A(\hat{g} < r_k))$$

Was arbitrarily chosen and for it we get that $x \in A(\hat{f} > \hat{g})$, we conclude that

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (A(\hat{f} > r_k) \cap A(\hat{g} < r_k)) \subset A(\hat{f} > \hat{g}).$$

From the last relation and from the relation (9) we get the relation (8).

Since \hat{f} and \hat{g} are measurable iso-functions on A , we have that the sets

$$A(\hat{f} > r_k), A(\hat{g} < r_k)$$

are measurable sets for every natural k , whereupon the sets

$$A(\hat{f} > r_k) \cap A(\hat{g} < r_k)$$

Are measurable sets for every natural k .

Therefore, using the relation (8), we obtain that the set $A(\hat{f} > \hat{g})$ is a measurable set.

Theorem 2.27. Let $\hat{f}(x)$ and $\hat{g}(x)$ be finite measurable is-functions on the set A . Then each of the is-functions

1. $\hat{f}(x) - \hat{g}(x)$,
2. $\hat{f}(x) + \hat{g}(x)$,
3. $\hat{f}(x)\hat{g}(x)$,
4. $\frac{\hat{f}(x)}{\hat{g}(x)}$ if $\hat{g}(x) \neq 0$ on A ,

Is measurable.

Proof.

1. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrarily chosen. Since $\hat{g}(x)$ is measurable, then $a + \hat{g}(x)$ is measurable. From here and from the last theorem it follows that the set

$$A(\hat{f}(x) - \hat{g}(x) > a) = A(\hat{f}(x) > a + \hat{g}(x))$$

Is measurable. Because $a \in \mathbb{R}$ was arbitrarily chosen, we conclude that the function $\hat{f}(x) - \hat{g}(x)$ is measurable.

2. Since \hat{g} is a measurable is-function, we have that the function $-\hat{g}$ is a measurable is-function. From here and from 1) we conclude that the is-function

$$\hat{f} + \hat{g} = \hat{f} - (-\hat{g})$$

Is measurable.

3. We note that

$$\hat{f}(x)\hat{g}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{f}(x) + \hat{g}(x))^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{f}(x) - \hat{g}(x))^2. \quad (10)$$

Since $\hat{f}(x)$ and $\hat{g}(x)$ are measurable iso-functions, using 1) and 2) we have that

$$\hat{f}(x) + \hat{g}(x) \text{ and } \hat{f}(x) - \hat{g}(x)$$

Are measurable is-functions. Hence the is-functions

$$(\hat{f}(x) + \hat{g}(x))^2, (\hat{f}(x) - \hat{g}(x))^2$$

Are measurable, whereupon

$$\frac{1}{2}(\hat{f}(x) + \hat{g}(x))^2 \text{ and } \frac{1}{2}(\hat{f}(x) - \hat{g}(x))^2$$

Are measurable. From here, using 1) and (10), we conclude that $\hat{f}(x)\hat{g}(x)$ is measurable.

4. Since $\hat{g}(x)$ is measurable and $\hat{g}(x) \neq 0$ on A , we have that the is-function $\frac{1}{\hat{g}(x)}$ is measurable. From here and from 3) the is-function

$$\frac{\hat{f}(x)}{\hat{g}(x)} = \hat{f}(x) \frac{1}{\hat{g}(x)}$$

Is measurable.

Theorem 2.28. Let $\{\hat{f}_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of

measurable is-functions defined on the set A . If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_n(x) = \hat{f}(x) \quad (11)$$

Exists for every $x \in A$, then the is-function $\hat{f}(x)$ is measurable.

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrarily chosen. For $n, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the sets

$$A_{m,k} := A\left(\hat{f}_k > a + \frac{1}{m}\right), B_{m,n} := \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{m,k}.$$

We will prove that

$$A(\hat{f} > a) = \bigcup_{n,m} B_{m,n}. \quad (12)$$

Let

$$x \in A(\hat{f} > a)$$

Be arbitrarily chosen. Then

$$x \in A \text{ and } \hat{f}(x) > a.$$

Hence, there is enough large natural number m_1 such that

$$\hat{f}(x) > a + \frac{1}{m_1}.$$

Using (11), there are enough large natural numbers k and m such that

$$\hat{f}_k(x) > a + \frac{1}{m},$$

i.e., $x \in A_{m,k}$.

From here, it follows that there is enough large n so that $x \in A_{m,k}$ for every $k \geq n$, i.e., $x \in B_{m,n}$ and then $x \in \bigcup_{m,n} B_{m,n}$.

Since $x \in A(\hat{f} > a)$ was arbitrarily chosen and we get that it is an element of the set $\bigcup_{m,n} B_{m,n}$, we conclude that

$$A(\hat{f} > a) \subset \bigcup_{m,n} B_{m,n}. \quad (13)$$

Let now $x \in \bigcup_{m,n} B_{m,n}$ be arbitrarily chosen.

Then, there are $m_2, n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$x \in B_{m_2, n_1} = \prod_{k=n_1}^{\infty} A_{m_2, k_1}$$

or

$$\hat{f}_{k_1}(x) > a + \frac{1}{m_2} \text{ for } \forall k \geq n_1.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{k_1 \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_{k_1}(x) \geq \lim_{k_1 \rightarrow \infty} \left(a + \frac{1}{m_2}\right)$$

or

$$\hat{f}(x) \geq a + \frac{1}{m_2} > a.$$

Therefore

$$x \in A(\hat{f} > a).$$

Since $x \in \cup_{m,n} B_{m,n}$ was arbitrarily chosen and for it we obtain $x \in A(\hat{f} > a)$, we conclude that

$$\bigcup_{m,n} B_{m,n} \subset A(\hat{f} > a).$$

From the last relation and from (13) it follows the relation (12).

Since $\hat{f}_k(x)$ are measurable, we have that the sets $A_{m,k}$ are measurable for every $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $B_{m,n}$ are measurable for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and then, using (12), the set $A(\hat{f} > a)$ is measurable. Consequently the is-function \hat{f} is measurable.

Theorem 2.29. be a sequence of measurable is-functions defined on the set A. If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_n(x) = \hat{f}(x) \quad (14)$$

Exists for almost everywhere $x \in A$, then the is-function $\hat{f}(x)$ is measurable.

Proof. Let B be the subset of A so that the relation (14) holds for every $x \in B$. From the previous theorem it follows that the is-function $\hat{f}(x)$ is measurable on the set B.

We note that

$$\mu(A \setminus B) = 0.$$

Therefore the is-function $\hat{f}(x)$ is measurable on $A \setminus B$. Hence, the is-function $\hat{f}(x)$ is measurable on A.

Let

$$\hat{T}_n, \hat{T}: A \rightarrow (0, \infty), f_n, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$0 < q_1 \leq \hat{T}_n(x), \hat{T}(x) \leq q_2 \text{ for } x \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$1. \hat{f}_n^\wedge(\hat{x}) = \frac{f_n(x)}{\hat{T}_n(x)}, \hat{f}^\wedge(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\hat{T}(x)},$$

$$2. \hat{f}_n^\wedge(x) = \frac{f_n(x\hat{T}_n(x))}{\hat{T}_n(x)}, \hat{f}^\wedge(x) = \frac{f(x\hat{T}(x))}{\hat{T}(x)}$$

If

$$x\hat{T}_n(x), x\hat{T}(x), x \in A,$$

$$3. \hat{f}_n^\wedge(\hat{x}) = \frac{f_n\left(\frac{x}{\hat{T}_n(x)}\right)}{\hat{T}_n(x)}, \hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x}) = \frac{f\left(\frac{x}{\hat{T}(x)}\right)}{\hat{T}(x)}$$

If

$$\frac{x}{\hat{T}_n(x)}, \frac{x}{\hat{T}(x)}, x \in A,$$

$$4. f_n^\wedge(x) = f_n(x\hat{T}_n(x)), f^\wedge(x) = f(x\hat{T}(x)),$$

If

$$x\hat{T}_n(x), x\hat{T}(x), x \in A,$$

$$5. f_n^\vee(x) = f_n\left(\frac{x}{\hat{T}_n(x)}\right), f^\vee(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{\hat{T}(x)}\right)$$

If

$$\frac{x}{\hat{T}_n(x)}, \frac{x}{\hat{T}(x)}, x \in A.$$

3. The Structure of the Measurable Is-Functions

Theorem 3.1. (is-Lebesgue theorem for is-functions of the first kind) Let there be given a sequence $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of measurable functions on a set A, all of which are finite almost everywhere. Let also, $\{\hat{T}_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of measurable functions on the set A,

$$0 < q_1 \leq \hat{T}_n(x) \leq q_2$$

For all natural numbers n and for all $x \in A$, where q_1 and q_2 are positive constants. Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{T}_n(x) = \hat{T}(x)$$

Almost everywhere on the set A, and $f(x)$ is finite almost everywhere on A,

$$q_1 \leq \hat{T}(x) \leq q_2$$

For all $x \in A$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu A(|\hat{f}_n^\wedge(\hat{x}) - \hat{f}^\wedge(\hat{x})| \geq \sigma) = 0$$

For all $\sigma \geq 0$.

Proof. We will note that the limit functions $f(x)$ and $\hat{T}(x)$ are measurable and the sets under considerations are measurable.

Let

$$A := A(|f| = \infty),$$

$$B_n := A(|f_n| = \infty),$$

$$C := A(f_n \not\rightarrow f),$$

$$D := B \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n \right) \cup C.$$

Since

$$\mu B = 0, \mu C = 0, \mu B_n = 0,$$

using the properties of the measurable sets, we have that

$$\mu \mathbb{Q} = 0.$$

Let

$$A_k(\sigma) = A\left(\left|\frac{f_k}{\hat{T}_k} - \frac{f}{\hat{T}}\right| \geq \sigma\right),$$

$$R_n(\sigma) = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k(\sigma),$$

$$M = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n(\sigma).$$

We have that

$$R_1(\sigma) \supset R_2(\sigma) \supset \dots$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu R_n(\sigma) = \mu M.$$

Let us assume that $x_0 \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Then, using the definition of the set \mathbb{Q} , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_k(x_0)}{\hat{T}_k(x_0)} = \frac{f(x_0)}{\hat{T}(x_0)}.$$

Since

$$0 < q_1 \leq \hat{T}_n(x), \hat{T}(x) \leq q_2, k=1,2,\dots,n,$$

we have that

$$\frac{f_1(x_0)}{\hat{T}_1(x_0)}, \frac{f_2(x_0)}{\hat{T}_2(x_0)}, \dots, \frac{f_k(x_0)}{\hat{T}_k(x_0)}, \dots$$

and their limit

$$\frac{f(x_0)}{\hat{T}(x_0)}$$

are finite.

Therefore there is an enough large natural n such that

$$\left| \frac{f_k(x_0)}{\hat{T}_k(x_0)} - \frac{f(x_0)}{\hat{T}(x_0)} \right| < \sigma$$

for every $k \geq n$. Then $x_0 \notin A_k(\sigma), k \geq n$, where $x_0 \notin R_n(\sigma)$ and from here $x_0 \notin M$.

Consequently $M \subset \mathbb{Q}$.

Because $\mu\mathbb{Q} = 0$, from the last relation, we have that $\mu M = 0$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu R_n(\sigma) = 0,$$

and since

$$A_n(\sigma) \subset R_n(\sigma),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu A_n(\sigma) = 0$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu A(|\hat{f}_n^{\wedge}(\hat{x}) - \hat{f}^{\wedge}(\hat{x})| \geq \sigma) = 0.$$

As in above one can prove the following results for the other kinds of is-functions.

Theorem 3.2. (is-Lebesgue theorem for is-functions of the second kind) Let there be given a sequence $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of

measurable functions on a set A , all of which are finite almost everywhere. Let also, $\{\hat{T}_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of measurable functions on the set A ,

$$0 < q_1 \leq \hat{T}_n(x) \leq q_2$$

For all natural numbers n and for all $x \in A$, where q_1 and q_2 are positive constants. Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{T}_n(x) = \hat{T}(x)$$

Almost everywhere on the set A , and $f(x)$ is finite almost everywhere on A ,

$$q_1 \leq \hat{T}(x) \leq q_2$$

For all $x \in A$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu A(|\hat{f}_n^{\wedge}(x) - \hat{f}^{\wedge}(x)| \geq \sigma) = 0$$

for all $\sigma \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.3. (is-Lebesgue theorem for is-functions of the third kind) Let there be given a sequence $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of measurable functions on a set A , all of which are finite almost everywhere. Let also, $\{\hat{T}_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of measurable functions on the set A ,

$$0 < q_1 \leq \hat{T}_n(x) \leq q_2$$

For all natural numbers n and for all $x \in A$, where q_1 and q_2 are positive constants. Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{T}_n(x) = \hat{T}(x)$$

Almost everywhere on the set A , and $f(x)$ is finite almost everywhere on A ,

$$q_1 \leq \hat{T}(x) \leq q_2$$

For all $x \in A$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu A(|\hat{f}_n^{\wedge}(\hat{x}) - \hat{f}^{\wedge}(\hat{x})| \geq \sigma) = 0$$

for all $\sigma \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.4. (is-Lebesgue theorem for is-functions of the fourth kind) Let there be given a sequence $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of measurable functions on a set A , all of which are finite almost everywhere. Let also, $\{\hat{T}_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of measurable functions on the set A ,

$$0 < q_1 \leq \hat{T}_n(x) \leq q_2$$

For all natural numbers n and for all $x \in A$, where q_1 and q_2 are positive constants. Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{T}_n(x) = \hat{T}(x)$$

Almost everywhere on the set A, and $f(x)$ is finite almost everywhere on A,

$$q_1 \leq \hat{T}(x) \leq q_2$$

For all $x \in A$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu A(|f_n^\wedge(x) - f^\wedge(x)| \geq \sigma) = 0$$

For all $\sigma \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.5. (is-Lebesgue theorem for is-functions of the fifth kind) Let there be given a sequence $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of measurable functions on a set A, all of which are finite almost everywhere. Let also, $\{\hat{T}_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of measurable functions on the set A,

$$0 < q_1 \leq \hat{T}_n(x) \leq q_2$$

For all natural numbers n and for all $x \in A$, where q_1 and q_2 are positive constants. Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{T}_n(x) = \hat{T}(x)$$

Almost everywhere on the set A, and $f(x)$ is finite almost everywhere on A,

$$q_1 \leq \hat{T}(x) \leq q_2$$

For all $x \in A$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu A(|f_n^\vee(x) - f^\vee(x)| \geq \sigma) = 0$$

for all $\sigma \geq 0$.

References

- [1] S. Georgiev, Foundations of Iso-Dierential Calculus, Vol. 1. Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2014.
- [2] P. Roman and R. M. Santilli, "A Lieadmissible model for dissipative plasma," *Lettere Nuovo Cimento* 2, 449-455 (1969).
- [3] R. M. Santilli, "Embedding of Lie-algebras into Lie-admissible algebras," *Nuovo Cimento* 51, 570 (1967), 33 <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-54.pdf>
- [4] R. M. Santilli, "An introduction to Lieadmissible algebras," *Suppl. Nuovo Cimento*, 6, 1225 (1968).
- [5] R. M. Santilli, "Lie-admissible mechanics for irreversible systems." *Meccanica*, 1, 3 (1969).
- [6] R. M. Santilli, "On a possible Lie-admissible covering of Galilei's relativity in Newtonian mechanics for nonconservative and Galilei form-noninvariant systems," . 1, 223-423(1978), available in free pdf download from <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-58.pdf>
- [7] R. M. Santilli, "Need of subjecting to an Experimental verication the validity within a hadron of Einstein special relativity and Pauli exclusion principle," *Hadronic J.* 1, 574-901 (1978), available in free pdf download from <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-73.pdf>
- [8] R. M. Santilli, Lie-admissible Approach to the Hadronic Structure, Vols. I and II, Hadronic Press (1978) <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/santilli-71.pdf> <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/santilli-72.pdf>
- [9] R. M. Santilli, Foundation of Theoretical Mechanics, Springer Verlag. Heidelberg, Germany, Volume I (1978), The Inverse Problem in newtonian mechanics, <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-209.pdf> Volume II, Birkhoan generalization of hamiltonian mechanics, (1982), <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/santilli-69.pdf>
- [10] R. M. Santilli, "A possible Lie-admissible time-asymmetric model of open nuclear reactions," *Lettere Nuovo Cimento* 37, 337-344 (1983) <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-53.pdf>
- [11] R. M. Santilli, "Invariant Lieadmissible formulation of quantum deformations," *Found. Phys.* 27, 1159- 1177 (1997) <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-06.pdf>
- [12] R. M. Santilli, "Lie-admissible invariant representation of irreversibility for matter and antimatter at the classical and operator levels," *Nuovo Cimento B* 121, 443 (2006), <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Lie-admiss-NCB-I.pdf>
- [13] R. M. Santilli and T. Vougiouklis. "Lieadmissible hyperalgebras," *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, in press (2013) <http://www.santillifoundation.org/Lie-admhyperstr.pdf>
- [14] R. M. Santilli, Elements of Hadronic Mechanics, Volumes I and II Ukraine Academy of Sciences, Kiev, second edition 1995, <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-300.pdf> <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-301.pdf>
- [15] R. M. Santilli, Hadronic Mathematics, Mechanics and Chemistry,, Vol. I [18a], II [18b], III [18c], IV [18d] and [18e], International Academic Press, (2008), available as free downlaods from <http://www.i-b-r.org/HadronicMechanics.htm>
- [16] R. M. Santilli, "Lie-isotopic Lifting of Special Relativity for Extended Deformable Particles," "Lettere Nuovo Cimento 37, 545 (1983), <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-50.pdf>
- [17] R. M. Santilli, Isotopic Generalizations of Galilei and Einstein Relativities, Volumes I and II, International Academic Press (1991) , <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-01.pdf> 34 <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-61.pdf>
- [18] R. M. Santilli, "Origin, problematic aspects and invariant formulation of q-, kand other deformations," *Intern. J. Modern Phys.* 14, 3157 (1999), available as free download from <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-104.pdf>
- [19] R. M. Santilli, "Isonumbers and Genonumbers of Dimensions 1, 2, 4, 8, their Isoduals and Pseudoduals, and "Hidden Numbers" of Dimension 3, 5, 6, 7," *Algebras, Groups and Geometries* Vol. 10, 273 (1993), <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-34.pdf>
- [20] R. M. Santilli, "Nonlocal-Integral Isotopies of Dierential Calculus, Mechanics and Geometries," in *Isotopies of Contemporary Mathematical Structures*, P. Vetro Editor, *Rendiconti Circolo Matematico Palermo, Suppl. Vol. 42, 7-82*

- (1996), <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-37.pdf>
- [21] R. M. Santilli, "Iso, Geno- Hypermathematics for matter and their isoduals for antimatter," *Journal of Dynamical Systems and Geometric theories* 2, 121-194 (2003)
- [22] R. M. Santilli, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* 50, 177 (1998), available as free download from <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-19.pdf>
- [23] R. M. Santilli, "Isotopies of Lie symmetries," Parts I and II, *Hadronic J.* 8, 36 - 85(1985), available as free download from <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/santilli-65.pdf>
- [24] R. M. Santilli, *JINR rapid Comm.* 6. 24-38(1993), available as free download from <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-19.pdf>
- [25] R. M. Santilli, "Apparent consistency of Rutherford's hypothesis on the neutron as a compressed hydrogen atom, *Hadronic J.* 13, 513 (1990). <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-21.pdf>
- [26] R. M. Santilli, "Apparent consistency of Rutherford's hypothesis on the neutron structure via the hadronic generalization of quantum mechanics - I: Nonrelativistic treatment", *ICTP communication IC/91/47* (1992) <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-150.pdf>
- [27] R. M. Santilli, "Recent theoretical and experimental evidence on the apparent synthesis of neutrons from protons and electrons.", *Communication of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia, number JINR-E4-93-352* (1993)
- [28] R.M. Santilli, "Recent theoretical and experimental evidence on the apparent synthesis of neutrons from protons and electrons," *Chinese J. System Engineering and Electronics* Vol. 6, 177-199 (1995) <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-18.pdf>
- [29] Santilli, R. M. *Isodual Theory of Antimatter with Applications to Antigravity, Grand Unification and Cosmology*, Springer(2006).
- [30] R. M. Santilli, "A new cosmological conception of the universe based on the isominkowskian geometry and its isodual," Part I pages 539-612 and Part II pages, *Contributed paper in Analysis, Geometry and Groups, A Riemann Legacy Volume, Volume II*, pp. 539-612 H.M. Srivastava, Editor, International Academic Press (1993)
- [31] R. M. Santilli, "Representation of antiparticles via isodual numbers, spaces and geometries," *Comm. Theor. Phys.* 1994 3, 153-181 <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-112.pdf>Antigravity
- [32] R. M. Santilli, "Antigravity," *Hadronic J.* 1994 17, 257-284 <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-113.pdf>Antigravity
- [33] R. M. Santilli, "Isotopic relativity for matter and its isodual for antimatter," *Gravitation* 1997, 3, 2.
- [34] R. M. Santilli, "Isominkowskian Geometry for the Gravitational Treatment of Matter and its Isodual for Antimatter," *Intern. J. Modern Phys.* 1998, D 7, 351 <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Santilli-35.pdf>R.
- [35] R. M. Santilli, "Lie-admissible invariant representation of irreversibility for matter and antimatter at the classical and operator levels," *Nuovo Cimento B*, Vol. 121, 443 (2006) <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/Lie-admiss-NCB-I.pdf>
- [36] R. M. Santilli, "The Mystery of Detecting Antimatter Asteroids, Stars and Galaxies," *American Institute of Physics, Proceed.* 2012, 1479, 1028-1032 (2012) <http://www.santillifoundation.org/docs/antimatterasteroids.pdf>